

9-17-7

Thrust of FU (from)

If you regard &  
describe the thrust of  
FU as least, approx.,  
an necessary  
and for both 2 reasons,  
want not to undermine  
its credibility —

you cannot, in advance  
of its big coming out,  
take steps to block  
any's it out, or prep  
to " " " , or to

protest, demand,  
condemnation  
it out (as express  
substance about its  
effectiveness, as the  
alleged evidence by its  
effectiveness or decency  
(as a "lost report.")

Thus, you participate  
in the perpetration of  
to carry it out, which  
in/for is no way, but  
enable its being carried  
out.

(you can't publicly  
— and ~~and~~ at most  
very discreetly, privately  
support the threat  
but not the action —  
demand that it be  
a bluff

(Cath. Church with  
demanded this, now  
raised the issue in  
order to take a position,  
one way or the other

(personally, against  
carrying it out.) It did  
not say, "Bluff, but..." or

"don't trust,  
believe or not"

("regiment" by its  
moral code —

~~it~~ it is inclined  
to ~~trust~~ (risk? ~~do~~?)  
what it is inclined to  
do.

P-12-5B

my EU paper:

- Expanded on NSA's

<sup>Sept 8, 07</sup>  
- Panther on 92 Herinner -  
elaboration of Carter  
Doctrine.

[This. then as original  
form or his require  
change of mil. attack  
now i) while US has  
Bush + Carter; ii) before for  
des Bush (± NATO: EU no. massive  
attack!)